



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/823,080

04/13/2004

Brian Andrew Kendall

PAWO122425

3159

26389 7590 10/24/2008  
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC  
1420 FIFTH AVENUE  
SUITE 2800  
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347

EXAMINER

MORGAN JR, JACK HOSMER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3782

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/24/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/823,080	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KENDALL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JACK H. MORGAN JR	<b>Art Unit</b> 3782	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JACK H. MORGAN JR. (3) T. Stasiu Nowak.

(2) Nathan Newhouse. (4) Emily Peyser.

Date of Interview: 15 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: proposed amendments to claims 1-50.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hovland (US 3,265,287) and Makowka (US 4,834,552).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented proposed amendments to the independent claims further defining the location of the perforations, specifically that the perforations are on the inside of the flap, as opposed to the outside as set forth by Hovland. This appears to define over the art of record, however will probably create a new issue requiring further search and/or consideration. Examiner will reconsider upon formal filing of an amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Nathan J. Newhouse/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3782
--	--